#### Dear all,

The recommendations of select committee on GST Bill is reproduce and furnished here-in-under for the information of the colleague industries members. This is also forwarded to the Senior Bureaucrats, Hon'ble Member of Parliament and public representatives for their information and to take up the issue for necessary amendment in the GST Bill and they are requested to submit their suggestion/objection to the concern authority, to ensure that the interest of industries, traders and people of UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli are properly safeguarded.

In the proposed GST Bill there is a provision that, in case of inter-state purchase shall attract 1% additional tax which will hampered the survival of the existing industries as industries of UT of Dadra & Nagar Haveli have no local resources for their raw material requirement, and industries shall have to procure the raw material by paying 1% additional tax on the inter-state purchase. At the same time after manufacturing, assembling and processing their final product, the industries shall have to sell their product to the buyers of other state as industries have no local market. At that time also the additional 1% tax shall levied as it will also inter-state sell. This will increase the cost of product which shall be manufactured by industries in Dadra & Nagar Haveli by net 2%. And thus, the industries in Dadra & Nagar Haveli will lose their level play field and shall not be in position to compete with the other such manufacturers across the country. So, it is high time that, we all should jointly represent this unique issue of Dadra & Nagar Haveli. In dissent note of Left parties, they have demanded a special provision for Puducherry to provide level play field. In same line our Administration and local representative should demand special provisions for Dadra & Nagar Haveli at par with Puducherry.

#### Select Committee Report on GST Bill

After the successful passage of the 122nd Constitutional Amendment Bill, 2014 (Goods and Services Tax (GST) Bill) in the Lok Sabha (lower house of Parliament), the GST Bill was sent to the Rajya Sabha (upper house of Parliament). However, due to disagreement over a few provisions of the GST Bill, it was sent to the Select Committee of the Rajya Sabha. The Select Committee is comprised of 21 members and is headed by Bhupender Yadav (Member of Parliament, Bharatiya Janata Party).

The Select Committee reviewed the GST Bill and submitted its report on 22 July 2015. In its report, the Select Committee endorsed most of the provisions of the proposed GST Bill. However, there were a few dissent notes submitted by some parties.

This GST Update summarises the following:

- The Select Committee's key recommendations
- Dissent notes on the GST Bill submitted by the Congress, AIADMK and the Left parties

#### The Select Committee's key recommendations

<u>Revenue of local bodies should not be adversely affected</u>

The Select Committee recommended that the revenues of local bodies need to be sustained and protected by the state governments, to ensure standards of local governance are maintained.

Band rates

The Select Committee proposed to include the definition of 'band' in Clause 12 (4)(e) of the GST Bill. The 'band' may be defined as "*The range of GST rates over the floor rate within which Central Goods and Service Tax (CGST) or State Goods and Services Tax (SGST) may be levied on any specified goods or services or any specified class of goods or services by the central or a particular state government as the case may be.* "The definition of 'band' will provide some flexibility to states to decide the GST rates (provided the rate is within the specified band).

### • Definition of supply for 1% additional tax

To avoid cascading of taxes, a definition for 'supply' is recommended by the Select Committee as "*All forms of supply made for a consideration*". Thus, inter-state supply of goods without consideration (such as stock transfer) will not attract the additional 1% tax. However, this definition would be applicable only for the purpose of an additional 1% tax on the inter-state supply of goods.

# • <u>Compensation to states for loss in revenue</u>

The Select Committee has recommended that revenue loss to the states should be compensated for a period of five years.

# • Shareholding in GSTN

The Select Committee recommended that the government should take immediate steps to ensure non-government financial institution shareholding is limited to public sector banks or public sector financial institutions as the GST Network (GSTN) will be a repository of a lot of sensitive data on business entities.

### • <u>Banking services should be kept outside the GST net. If not, then ensure GST credit is</u> <u>available to them</u>

The Select Committee recommended banking services be kept outside GST as followed by most countries across the globe.

# Moderate GST rate

The Select Committee discussed that it was imperative for the GST Council to keep the needs of consumers in mind and arrive at a moderate GST rate to secure the confidence of consumers. The Select Committee also noted that a high GST rate may lead to inflationary pressure on the prices of products and services.

# Dissent notes on the GST Bill by the Congress, AIADMK and the Left

The GST did receive some contention in the form of dissent notes from the Congress, AIADMK and the Left parties as shown below:

Congress	AIADMK	Left parties
The GST Bill should provide a ceiling for	<ul> <li>Enhance vote share weightage for states</li> </ul>	Enhance vote share
GST rate at 18%	(from 2/3 to 3/4) in GST Council decisions	weightage for states (from 2/3
<ul> <li>An additional 1% tax would be market</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exclude petroleum and petroleum</li> </ul>	to 3/4) in GST Council
distorting	products from GST	decisions
<ul> <li>Provide a definition for supply/supplies</li> </ul>	• Provide for a higher state levy on tobacco	<ul> <li>A special provision should</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Include tobacco and tobacco products, alcohol</li> </ul>	and tobacco products	be made for Puducherry
for human consumption, and electricity supply	• States should be permitted to retain 4% of	<ul> <li>GST will only be in the</li> </ul>
and consumption in GST within a period of	the CGST part of the Integrated GST (IGST)	interest of large corporate
five years	on all inter-state sales/transfer of goods and	houses
• Enhance vote share weightage for states (from	services	<ul> <li>Challenged the authority</li> </ul>
2/3 to 3/4) in GST Council decisions	• Challenged the authority and existence of	and existence of the GST
<ul> <li>Safeguard revenues of local Municipalities</li> </ul>	the GST Council	Council
and Panchayats in the Bill		
<ul> <li>Special consideration must be given by the</li> </ul>		
GST Council to any state or union territory		
• Have a separate dispute settlement authority		

#### The way forward

According to news reports, the Union Cabinet (headed by Prime Minister Narendra Modi) has approved the amendments to the GST Bill (including the amendment for compensation to states for five years).

Given the aforesaid, the GST Bill now stands for approval by the Rajya Sabha. It would be prudent for the Rajya Sabha to prioritise and expedite the clearance of the GST Bill to ensure the implementation target date of 1 April 2016 is met.

This information is shared with you for your information and to file your objection/suggestion before appropriate forum.

Thanking you, With regards,

For Silvassa Industries & Manufacturers Association

Chadrakant M Parekh President