

**HEARTIEST WELCOME
TO
HON'BLE
JOINT ELECTRICITY
REGULATORY
COMMISSION FOR UTs**



BEFORE TIME TRUE-UP

**VERY NEAR TO CORRCET DATA ON
DEPRICIATION, INTEREST ON WORKING
CAPITAL, RETURN ON EQUITY, ETC.,**

GREAT JOB.... DNH PDCL !!!

**ON DISTRIBUTION LOSSES, FEDERATION
HAS SUGGESTIONS, BUT WILL SUBMIT AT
THE TIME OF ARR 18-19**

REVENUE GAP OF Rs. 100.51 CR ?

**ADDITIONAL SURCHARGE OF 36 PAISE PER
UNIT ON ALL EHT / HT CONSUMERS??**

SORRY, FEDERATION OBJECTS !!!

WHY ??

WHY THIS GAP??

**DNH PDCL HAD GOOD
TRACK RECORD
CONSISTING SURPLUS
FOR LAST MANY YEARS**

Year	Surplus / (Gap) (Rs in Cr)
2010-11	45.24
2011-12	48.47
2012-13	0.57
2013-14	79.4
2014-15	66.69
2015-16	27.21

**AND,
SUDDENLY LOSS OF
Rs. 100 CR IN 16-17 ???**

WHY ??

WHY IS THERE A LOSS??

**ANSWER IS AS CLEAR
AS LIGHT OF THE DAY...**

**GAP HAS OCCURRED
BECAUSE OF PAYMENT
OF MINIMUM CHARGES
UNDER PPAs**

**MINIMUM CHARGES
HAD TO BE PAID,
BECAUSE MINIMUM
POWER WAS NOT
PURCHASED**

**MINIMUM POWER
WAS NOT PURCHASED,
BECAUSE
OPEN ACCESS....!!!**

IF LOSS IS BECAUSE OF
OPEN ACCESS,
THEN, WHY OTHER
CONSUMERS SHOULD BE
PENALIZED ?

**DNH PDCL GRANTED NOCs
FOR OPEN ACCESS @ “NO” OR
@ “MINIMAL” ADDITIONAL
SURCHARGE AGAINST
MINIMUM CHARGES WHICH
IT HAD TO PAY**

**IT IS TRUE THAT
DNH PDCL HAD COMPUTED
ADDITIONAL SURCHARGE @Rs.
1.48 PAISE PER OPEN ACCESS
UNIT
(PETITION NO. 226 OF 2016)**

BUT, IN PETITION NO. 226 OF 2016
HON'BLE JERC DIDNOT ALLOW ANY
SURCHARGE FOR THE PERIOD 01.04.16 TO
30.09.16 AND REDUCED IT TO A MEAGRE
AMOUNT OF Rs. 0.47 PAISE PER OPEN
ACCESS UNIT FOR REST OF THE YEAR.
IT RESULTED IN LOSS TO DNH PDCL

**FEDERATION HAS VERY HIGH
REGARDS AND RESPECT FOR
HON'BLE JERC.**

**FEDERATION HAS FULL FAITH
IN HON'BLE JERC.**

**DNH PDCL, FEDERATION AND
OPEN ACCESS CONSUMERS,
ALL HAVE CHALLENGED IT BY
APPEAL BEFORE HON'BLE
APTEL.**

**HAD THE TARIFF PROPOSED FOR
ADDITIONAL SURCHARGE ON
OPEN ACCESS UNITS BY DNH PDCL,
WOULD HAVE BEEN APPROVED
FOR THE WHOLE YEAR, PROCEEDS
FROM SALE OF POWER FOR FY 16-
17 WOULD HAVE GONE UP BY Rs
247.02 (CR).**

(next table)

Open Access Units	1984.08 (MU)
--------------------------	---------------------

Open access additional surcharge @ Rs 1.48 per unit	Rs. 293.64 (cr)
--	-----------------

Actually Recovered only for 992.04 (1984.08/2 = 992.04 MUs) during the period 1.10.16 to 31.03.17 @ 47 paise per unit	Rs. 46 .62 (cr)
--	-----------------

DIFFERENCE	Rs 247.02 (cr)
-------------------	-----------------------

DNH PDCL SHOULD HAVE WORKED OUT BASED UPON ITS CLAIM AND NOT BASED UPON THE ORDER DATED 15TH SEPT, AND SHOULD HAVE MENTIONED THAT THIS Rs 247.02 cr ARE SUBJECT TO VERDICT BY APTEL IN APPEAL NO. 85 OF 2017

**FURTHER, DNH PDCL HAVE NOT
SHOWN THE SURPLUS OF
PREVIOUS YEARS' APPROVED BY
HON'BLE JERC IN TRUE - UP
FY 15-16**

**IN THE FY 15-16, HON'BLE
JERC HAD APPROVED
SURPLUS OF Rs 383 CR.**

Particulars	FY-16-17 as approved by Hon'ble JERC on 9 th June 2017	FY-16-17 Actual projected by DNH PDCL	Actual as per Federation
Annual Revenue Requirement	2102.23	1995.45	1995.45
Revenue from sale of Power	2174.1	1877.71	2124.73 (=1877.71+ 247.02)
Revenue from Surplus power sale	0	17.23	17.23
Revenue (gap)/Surplus	71.87	(100.51)	146.51

Particulars	FY-16-17 as approved by Hon'ble JERC on 9 th June 2017	FY-16-17 Actual projected by DNH PDCL	Actual as per Federation
Surplus of Previous Years	383.88	0	383.88
Holding cost	35.70	0	56.58
Net Surplus at the end of FY 16-17	500.79	0	586.97

HOPES

IN THE BEGINNING OF THIS
CALEDAR YEAR, FEDERATION
RECEIVED JUSTICE FROM
HON'BLE JERC WHEN FPPCA
WAS CORRECTED

HOPES

**HAD THAT ORDER WOULD NOT
HAVE BEEN THERE,
INDUSTRIES, ESPECIALLY
MSMEs WOULD HAVE RUINED**

**FEDERATION'S SINCERE
THANKS FOR SAVIOUR**

PRAYERS

**1. WHILE TRUING UP,
PROPOSAL FOR GAP OF
Rs.100.51 CR SHOULD BE
REJECTED.**

**2. INSTEAD, HON'BLE JERC
SHOULD APPROVE TRUE-UP
FY 16-17 WITH SURPLUS OF
Rs 147 cr.**

**3. PROPOSAL FOR ADDITIONAL
SURCHARGE @ 36 PAISE TO
ALL HT / EHT CONSUMERS
SHOULD BE REJECTED.**

**4. ANY OTHER RELIEF WHICH
HON'BLE JERC MAY THINK
FIT.**

THANKS